

A

REVIEW OF THE STATE OF THE BRITISH NATION.

Tuesday, February 10. 1708.

Having been so earnestly shewing the melancholly Decay of our Trade and Trading Towns, upon the visible Encrease of *East-India Goods* in the latter Years of their Importation, it seems necessary for me, in order to open the Eyes of some People, who must have every thing made plain to them as they go, to answer a Question.

Of what mighty Consequence were the particular Branches of Trade which this did affect, that so much Noise was made of it, as if the whole Nation was just going to be destroy'd?

I own this Question material; for if the Numbers of People were not very considerable, the Argument had not been of Force enough, and it was not worth while to streighten the *East-India Trade* to relieve them, since the *East-India Trade* being considerable in it self, is neither without its

Arguments, or its Uses, and ought not to be suppress'd for every Trifle.

To answer this directly, it will be needful to single out one Place to make a Calculation from; and as nothing is more afflant to Truth than Matter of Fact, I shall describe the Posture of the Manufacturers, and the Figure they made in the Nation, by fixing upon one Part, viz. the County of Norfolk including the City of Norwich. This whole County containing near 700 Parishes, and a vast Number of People, is, generally speaking, wholly maintain'd, and its Poor wholly employ'd by the Manufacture of Worsted-Stuffs, and Stuffs made of Silk, or Hair mingled with Worsted; the Stocking Trade, which was once very considerable, being in a manner totally declined there— Now to make a Calculation upon Numbers here, take it as follows:

In

In the City of *Norwich* and County of *Norfolk*, as is judg'd within Compass by those who are very well acquainted with the Place and the Trade, were usually employ'd ten Thousand Looms for these Stuffs.

To calculate the Whole upon this Foot, take it thus.

Each Loom employs ——————	Spinners ——————	6
	Winder ——————	1
	Doubler ——————	1
	Weaver ——————	1

Total —————— 9 Persons to each Loom.

Which reckoned upon 10000 Looms, makes —————— 90000 People.

Besides this, there may be supposed to be 1 Comber
to every 3 Looms, which is within Compass—and is

To the Whole, of Dyers, Pressers, Callenders, Dres-

sers, &c. at least ——————

Master-Weavers who employ these People ——————

3333

2000

1000

And to this vast Number are to be added all the several Sorts of People employ'd, in the Skirts of this Trade, as we may call it, such as,

Carryers, who bring in the Wool and carry out their Goods, Servants, who fetch in the Yarn, and carry out the Wool to Spinning: With 12 Waggoners constantly travelling to and from *London*, and other Parts. Besides Master Combers, Master Yarn-Makers, Staplers, Wool Merchants, Loom-Makers, Tool-Makers, and the like, without Number. All these Particulars, I shall be allow'd to guess moderately, if I reckon them at ——————

10000

Horses employ'd in these last Articles, and which are a very considerable Article in the Advantage the Country makes by their consuming the Produce, cannot be less than double this Number.

So that upon the Whole, the Stuff Trade in the County of *Norfolk*, and City of *Norwich* only, may be allow'd to employ Looms 10000, Horses 20000, and People 106333. What Proportion *London*, *Canterbury*, and some Towns employ'd in the Shalloon Trade, &c. and in other Trades equally concern'd in the Calamity, is not only too long, and perhaps difficult to be entred upon, at least in so small a Compass, but also not absolutely necessary.

It may however be needful to give you the Foot of the Account, and to tell you, that upon the nearest Estimate I can make, and after no little Pains taken in the Calculation, I am come to these Generals, and I believe no Man, that understands the Trade

of *England* in these Goods, will dispute them.

That on or about the Time of 1680 to 1686, there were employ'd in the Stuff and Broad-Silk Trade in *England*, such in particular, about which I am talking in this Affair of the *East-India* Prohibition,

30000 Looms.

60000 Horses.

320000 People.

Besides the vast *Ets Cetera's* of Trade, Shipping, Export and Import, which had a Dependence upon, and were occasion'd by those People and Manufactures.

I come now to examine, what Share of the Mischief, which the Growth of our *East-India* Goods procured, this Trade in general felt, and how it is to be calculated upon the particular Places.

1. If we calculate it upon the City of *Canserbury*, it would be a dismal Story indeed, where 1000 Looms being reduced to under 50, the general Decay of the Manufacture amounted to 19 Parts in 20, and upon that Foot the whole Manufacture in all the Places would be reduced to 1500 Looms, and about 16000 People; so that had all the Places decay'd like that, 30000 People had been put out of their Employment.

2. If we were to calculate upon *Spritle-Fields*, allowing Part of their Decay to be supply'd by their Encroachment made upon the *Norwich* Trade, yet at least 7 Parts in 20 of their Trade was lost.

3. If you go to the City of *Norwich* and County of *Norfolk*, 4 Parts in 10 is allow'd to be sunk.

And put this all together, above One half of the Manufacture was entirely lost, One Half of the People scatter'd and ruin'd, and all this by the Increase of the *East-India* Trade, as shall appear in our next.

MISCELLANEA.

I come now to my old Friend the *Rebeare-sal*, who stands in great Need of some Correction; I acknowledge, that it is too insignificant a thing to spend so much Time upon the Replying to such a noisie clamouring Adversary, yet I must throw away a few Lines upon him; I have gone through two or three Cases with him already, (*viz.*) That of *Abraham Gill*, Mr. *Lauder's* Notion of *Episcopacy*, and the *Jacobite* Shain about Charity to the *Scots* Clergy; I hope and believe, I have cleared them up all, I endeavour to state every thing right, and clear Things from the false Party Gloves and Cavils of a Man full of Delusions, and that regards no Truth.

He is now coming over us with a very pretty new Project, something suitable to his Kind of *Episcopacy*, and that is, a Proposal, that the *Scots* *Episcopal* Clergy should be joyn'd to, incorporated with, and reckoned of the same Body with the Bishops of the Church of *England*.

It is not the first Time we have heard of the Church of *England*, and the *Episcopal* Church of *Scotland* being one and the same Church, and the Clergy in *Scotland* have made not a few Advances to make them seem more alike, as particularly their new Conformity to the Gown and the Service-Book, Noveities the *Episcopal*.

Church there never accustomed themselves to before.

But to talk to Mr. *Rebeare-sal* in his own Way, I would propose two very fair Questions to him, and if he would, *tho' he is not us'd to it*, give but fair and direct Answers to them, we should soon find out the Bottom of this Affair, and it is needful to ask them, to come at the Design of his Party,

1. Who does he mean by the Church of *England* Bishops, when he speaks of the *Scots* Bishops uniting with them, the Bishops incumbent, and in Possession, install'd and named by the QUEEN, or the dispossess'd Bishops?

2. Is the present Church of *England*, as we call it, meaning that Part which is now under the Government of QUEEN ANNE, as Supream Head, and which owns the Revolution-Establishment, the only true Legal and rightly Establish'd Church of *England*, or is it a Schismatical Usurpation, as by *Dodwell* and his Party is alledg'd?

Never let him pretend to Argument, if he trifles in this Point; I demand a direct Answer, if he would be called a Man of Argument, and if his Cause will bear to much Light as this will lead us into—And let him take it which Way he will.

1. If

1. If the Church of *England* be the only true Legal and rightful Establish'd Church, &c. as above, then it will be ask'd;

1. Why do you not acknowledge her, you Mr. *Rebeasal*, and you *Scots Prelates*?

2. How can the *Scots Bishops* be reckon'd a Part of that Church, when they all refuse to take the Oaths to her as supream Head, or submit to her Establishment?

3. If she is a Schismatical Usurpation in herself, then he must mean, that the *Scots Bishops*, and our *Non-Furant* depoaled Bishops make up the united Body of the Church of *England*, and I care not which side he pleases to take; the *First* he cannot submit to, and the *Last* he will be ashamed to own.

This effectually discovers the Drift of this wretched Party; they would pretend to defend the Church they will not own, and they really own the Church they dare not defend.

It remains to examine, whether the *Scots Bishops* do really submit to the QUEEN as supream Head of the Church— And let us joyn Issue there; we are sure her Majesty is not supream Head of the *Jacobite* Church, she is not own'd so by them, for it would then be the Schism they talk of, not to submit to her; on the other hand, we are sure they refuse to take the Oath to her Majesty as Sovereign, nor do they pray for Her as supream Head of the Church in their Religious Exercises.

Which of these Two then will they take? The Alternative is plain; If the Revolution Church of *England* be acknowledg'd, then the *Scots Bishops* are Schismatics, in their own Sense of Schism, and they cannot desire the Church to receive 6 Schismatical Bishops into their Body, while they remain Schismatical.

If by the present Church of *England* he means the *Jacobite* Clergy, depos'd for not submitting to the QUEEN and acknowledging her Majesty; then the Plot is discover'd, and the Man unmasked— Now, let us but know which of these two he means, and we shall then see, upon what farther Foot to talk to him.

In this Affair also, especially when it comes to be a little farther enquir'd into, will appear the Reason of a Project lately talk'd of in *Scotland*, but perhaps not reach'd hither, Viz. Of addressing her Majesty to empower the surviving Bishops there, to consecrate other Nominal Bishops, to preserve the Succession of the *Jacobite* Prelacy there, against another Revolution; just as the *Papists* do in *Ireland*— This they had the Face to hand about as the Proposal of a Reverend Bishop now in the Church here, tho' they never durst venture to set it on Foot here in his Lordship's Name. But this will in due Time meet with a needful Examination and Detection.

I shall wait with some Impatience Mr. *Rebeasal*'s fair Reply to this, and in particular his Answer to the two Queries, in which I shall be very ready to give him farther Satisfaction.

A D V E R T I S E M E N T S.

These are to give Notice,

THAT *MARY KIRLEUS*, the W^t dow of *JOHN KIRLEUS*, Son of Dr. *THO. KIRLEUS*, a Sword-Physician, in ordinary to King *Charles II.* Sells (rightly prepar'd) his Famous Drink and Pills; experienc'd above 50 Years to cure all Ulcers, Sores, Scabs, Itch, Scurf, Scurvies, Leprosies, Running of the Reins, and the most inveterate VENERAL Disease, with all its attending Symptoms, without Fluxing, Confinement, or destructive Mercurial Preparations: These incomparable Medicines need no Words to express their Virtues; the many miserable One that have been happily cured, after gives over by others, sufficiently recommend them as the most Sovereign Remedy in the World against all such Maligaities: She cures many after Fluxing, and in Compassion to the distressed, will deal according to the Patient's Ability. The Drink is 3 s. the Quart, the Pill 1 s. the Box with Directions, and Advice *Gratis*.

+++ She lives at the Golden-Ball in Hand Court, over against great Turnstile in Holborn.